

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ONITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.despto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,542	07/07/2003	Shiwen Chen	9432-000238	9097	
27572	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			WIDHALM, ANGELA M		
	P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2152		
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/614,542	CHEN, SHIWEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Angela Widhalm	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Jul. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8-10,13-16,18,24,26-29,31-37,3</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,8-10,13-16,18,24,26-29,31-37,3</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 89-41,43 and 45-49 is/are rejected				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) X Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 July 2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, 13, 18, 24, 26-29, 31, and 41 have been amended. Claims 2, 6-7, 11-12, 17, 19-23, 25, 30, 38, 42, and 44 were cancelled. The claims 1, 3-5, 8-10, 13-18, 24, 26-29, 31-37, 39-41, 43, and 45-49 are pending in this application.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code 103 not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 8-10, 13-18, 24, 26-29, 31-37, 39-41, 43, and 45-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2152

Response to Amendment

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 43 and 31 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 43 claims a dependency on claim 42, which has been cancelled.
 - b. Claim 31 line 9 states "reformatting the options **filed** to remove..."

 Examiner interprets this to mean "reformatting the options **field** to remove..."

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-10, 24, 26-29, 31-37, 39-41, 43, and 45-49 are rejected under 35

 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of Farinacci et al. (U.S. Patent

 7,016,351), hereafter referred to as Farinacci.
- 7. Regarding claims 1, 9, and 24, Park disclosed a method for routing data packets in a packet-switched network, comprising:

receiving a data packet at a network routing device residing in the network, the data packet being formulated in accordance with the Internet Protocol (IP) to have a packet header including a destination IP address field (see Park fig. 4 #4-3), a source IP address field (see Park fig. 4 #4-4) having a stack of two or more private IP addresses (see Park fig. 5 #5-4 and #5-7, paragraph 31; Two IP addresses, a source and a destination address, are stored in the options field.

Art Unit: 2152

Only private Internet address information is saved in the options field. Public Internet address information is saved in the packet header);

extracting a private IP address directly from the options field (see Park paragraph 40; Address extraction is inherently included when exchanging address information between various fields in the packet. In order for the private address from the options field to be moved to the general header, it is first extracted);

directly formatting the destination IP address field of the packet header with the extracted private IP address prior to forwarding the data packet; and (see Park paragraphs 40-42, fig. 6; In step 116, the IP packet is forwarded. Prior to this, in steps 108-112 public and private Internet addresses are exchanged and private Internet addresses are moved to the general header)

reformatting the options field to remove the extracted private IP address from the stack prior to forwarding the data packet (see Park paragraphs 40-42; When the private address from the options field was exchanged and moved to the general header, the private address was first extracted and then moved to the general header. The private address is no longer in the options field at this point).

Park did not explicitly disclose addresses are appended to each other in a predefined order and defining a path to a device residing in a private network.

However, in a related art, Farinacci disclosed appending IP addresses to an address list (see col 15 lines 48-50) and also including delivery tree information in a packet header (see col 2 lines 10-29).

Art Unit: 2152

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate delivery trees into packet headers as described by Farinacci into Park's routing system to reduce processing performed by each router and therewith reduce the amount of network bandwidth used (see Farinacci col 1 lines 26-32, col 2 lines 1-29) and also the required processing time (see Park paragraph 5).

- 8. Regarding claim 3, Park-Farinacci disclosed forwarding the data packet through a private-side interface of the network routing device (see Park paragraphs 40-41).
- 9. Regarding claim 4, Park-Farinacci disclosed defining the options field of the packet header to include an embedded address indicator which indicates the presence of the at least one private IP address in the options field (see Park paragraph 31, options class 5-1).
- 10. Regarding claims 5 and 10, Park-Farinacci disclosed formatting the destination IP address field when an IP address residing in the destination IP address of the packet header matches a public-side interface IP address for the network routing device (see Park paragraphs 40-41).
- 11. Regarding claim 8, Park-Farinacci disclosed repeating the process of extracting and formatting at each network routing device interposed between a public network and a destination network device, wherein, to an extent that multiple routers are interposed

Art Unit: 2152

between a public network and a destination host in a private network, another private IP address is directly extracted from the options field and directly inserted into the destination IP address field of the packet header at each intermediate routing device, thus ensuring that the data packet is routed in a peer-to-peer manner from a source host to a destination host (see Park fig 1 #14a-c are routers; paragraphs 40-41 provide a method of exchanging data with devices outside of the private network, in order to achieve this aspect, there must be at least the routers the data must traverse until data reaches its destination).

- 12. Regarding claim 26, Park-Farinacci disclosed a private IP address is extracted from the destination address field by a network routing device interposed between a public network and the destination device (see Park paragraphs 40-41, fig 1; Address extraction is inherently included when exchanging address information between various fields in the packet. In order for the private address from the options field to be moved to the general header, it is first extracted. Additionally, there are routers 14a-14d between the public Internet network and the private Internet network. If the destination is a private Internet network and the packet traverses a public Internet network, the router is between the two and extracts a private IP address).
- 13. Regarding 27, the claim contains the limitations contained in claims 1 and 26 and is rejected according to the same prior art.

Art Unit: 2152

14. Regarding claim 28, Park-Farinacci disclosed the source address field is extracted from the options field at the destination device and reformatted into the destination address field of the options field for subsequent communication with the source device (see Farinacci figs 3-4, col 6 lines 21-38; a table stores the parent node and its address for each node in the table)

- 15. Regarding claim 29, Park-Farinacci disclosed the source address field and the destination address field further include a flag byte and a length byte (see Park paragraphs 31, 33; The option class field 5-1 indicates if the private Internet is defined in the options field. This is functionally equivalent to the claimed flag byte. Also, the option length field 5-2 contains data on the length of the address information; see Farinacci col 5 lines 10-15; A length field contains the number of addresses in the address list).
- 16. Regarding claim 31, the claim contains the limitations of claim 1 and is rejected according to the same prior art.
- 17. Regarding claim 32, the claim contains the limitations of claim 4 and is rejected according to the same prior art.

Art Unit: 2152

18. Regarding claim 33, Park-Farinacci disclosed setting said indicator to a second state when no destination addresses remain in said options field (see Farinacci col 7 lines 53-58, col 18 lines 20-26, col 21 lines 56-67).

- 19. Regarding claim 34, Park-Farinacci disclosed said indicator is in said first state when said indicator is non-zero (see Park paragraphs 31, 33).
- 20. Regarding claims 35 and 45, Park-Farinacci disclosed updating said indicator, wherein said indicator indicates how many destination (claim 35) or source (claim 45) addresses remain in said options field (see Farinacci col 5 lines 10-15; *A length field contains the number of addresses in the address list*).
- 21. Regarding claim 36, the claim contains the limitations of claim 1 and is rejected according to the same prior art.
- 22. Regarding claims 37 and 43, the claims contain the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described above in claims 29 and 35, and are rejected according to the same prior art.
- 23. Regarding claims 39 and 46, the claims contain the limitations of claims 14-15 and are rejected according to the same prior art.

Art Unit: 2152

24. Regarding claims 40 and 47, the claims contain the limitations of claim 1 and are rejected according to the same prior art.

25. Regarding claim 41, Park-Farinacci disclosed the invention, substantially as claimed, as described in claim 1 above, further comprising:

reading a first source address from said source address field of the packet header; inserting said first source address into a source address of said options field (see Park paragraphs 40-41; *During the address exchange, the source address from the packet header is moved to the options field*);

placing a public address of the routing device into said source address field of the packet header; forwarding said packet out a second interface to a destination device (see Farinacci col 2 lines 10-29; *Packets are forwarded by the intervening routers. As a part of the forwarding process, the source address is replaced with the address of the router sending the packet*);

reading the first source address from the source address field of the options field; formatting an outgoing data packet from the destination device with the first source address in the destination address field of the options field (*Using the reverse of the route packets traveled from the source device to the destination device would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art*).

Art Unit: 2152

26. Regarding claims 48-49, the claims contain the limitations, substantially as claimed, as described in claims 1 and 41 and are rejected according to the same prior art.

- 27. <u>Claims 13-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable</u> over Park-Farinacci, in view of what was well known in the art.
- 28. Regarding claim 13, Park-Farinacci disclosed the invention, substantially as claimed, as described above in claim 3, further comprising:

directly formatting the source IP address field of the packet header with an IP address for the network routing device prior to forwarding the data packet; formatting the source IP address field of the packet header with a public interface IP address for the another network routing device prior to forwarding the data packet (see Farinacci col 2 lines 10-29; Packets are forwarded by the intervening routers. As a part of the forwarding process, the source address is replaced with the address of the router sending the packet).

Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03 Reliance on "Well Known" Prior Art) that storing an original source private IP address in the source IP address field of the packet header instead of the public IP address disclosed by Park-Farinacci would have been an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Art Unit: 2152

29. Regarding claim 14, Park-Farinacci disclosed receiving the data packet at a private-side interface of the network routing device (see Park paragraphs 40-41).

- 30. Regarding claim 15, Park-Farinacci disclosed forwarding the data packet through a public-side interface of the network routing device (see Park paragraphs 40-41).
- 31. Regarding claim 16, Park-Farinacci disclosed receiving the data packet at a network device having an IP address that matches the destination IP address embedded in the destination IP address field; and extracting the original source private IP address from the options field and the IP address for the network routing device from the source IP address field of the packet header for subsequent communications with the originating network device (see Park paragraphs 40-42).
- 32. Regarding claim 18, Park-Farinacci disclosed the invention, substantially as claimed, as described above in claim 16, further comprising extracting the IP address for the network routing device from the options field and the IP address for the another network routing device from the source IP address field of the packet header for subsequent communications with the originating network device (see Park paragraphs 40-42, Farinacci col 3 lines 59-60, col 4 lines 16-18).

Art Unit: 2152

Conclusion

33. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Widhalm whose telephone number is (571) 272-1035. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/614,542

Art Unit: 2152

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AW, 11 September 2006

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 13